

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/896,171	06/29/2001	John R. Mruz	A30904-070378.0107	7430	
21003 75	590 04/04/2003				
BAKER & BOTTS 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			EXAMINER		
NEW YORK, 1			LEE, BENNY T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2817		
	DATE MAILED: 04/04/2003				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trade France Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT			, AT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
		•	•	•			
		,			•		
 · .		•		7	EXA	MINER	
			• •	•			
•						T	
					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•					7	
	•		•		L	/.	
					DATE MAILED:	· ·	

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.

		13 7 242 -	/
_		application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on 13 Jan 2003	This action is made final.
A sh Failt	ortene ere, to	red statutory period for response to this action is set to expire th(u(3) month(s),	e date of this letter. 13
3.		Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449 . 4. Notice of informal Patent	PTO-948. Application, Form PTO-152
Part !	11 .	SUMMARY OF ACTION	•
1.	. Ø	Claims 1, 2, 3	are pending in the application.
•	/	Of the above, claims	are withdrawn from consideration.
2] Claims	have been cancelled.
3.		Claims	are allowed.
4.	⊿	Claims 1, 2, 3	are rejected.
5.	$\overline{\Box}$	Claims	are objected to.
٤.		Claims are subject to re	striction or election requirement.
7.		This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes	until such time as allowable subject
8.	_	matter is Indicated. Allowable subject matter having been indicated, formal drawings are required in response to this Office	e action.
9.		The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on These drawin	·
. •		not acceptable (see explanation).	
10.		The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of draw has (have) been approved by the examiner. disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).	ings, filed on
11,		The proposed drawing correction, filed, has been approved disa the Patent and Trademark Office no longer makes drawing changes. It is now applicant's responsibility	pproved (see explanation). However, ty to ensure that the drawings are
٠. •		corrected. Corrections <u>MUST</u> be effected in accordance with the instructions set forth on the attache EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES", PTO-1474.	d letter "INFORMATION ON HOW T
12.		Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has be	een received not been received
		been filed in parent application, serial no; filed on;	•
13.		Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	as to the merits is closed in
14.] Other	,

SN 896171

Art Unit: 2817

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' cancellation of non-elected claims 4 & 5 renders moot the restriction requirement.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Through out the amended specification, note that "A-D" following a reference number (e.g. "16A-D", etc) should be rewritten at the respective occurrence to also include the respective reference number prior to "D" (i.e. --16A-16D--, etc). In figs. 4,5, applicants' should review the description of those drawings to make sure that all labeled elements therein have been correspondingly described. Also, reference labels (44, 50) need to be described relative to fig. 7. Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 1, 2, 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

With respect to these claims, there does not appear to be support in the original specification that the "matching elements" are "lossless", as recited in these amended claims. Accordingly, such a limitation has been treated as "new matter".

If applicants' do not believe that the above noted limitation is "new matter", then an appropriate explanation is required, including pointing out where in the original disclosure, support for the limitation in question can be explicitly found.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Page 3

Claims 1, 2, 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kim et al (of record) for reasons of record as supplemented by the following comments.

As described in the detailed rejection set forth in the previous Office action, Kim et al discloses the switched and impedance match signal divider as originally claimed. With regard to the amended limitation of "a center conductor", note that generic transmission line (34) constitutes a conductor connected to the common port (32) and as evident from fig. 8, which is a physical realization of the schematic circuit of Fig. 4, the transmission lines are realized by coaxial transmission lines which inherently include a "center conductor". Moreover, with regard to the "reactive impedance matching network", note the transmission line elements (56) which constitute the impedance matching network inherently have "reactive" characteristics. As for the "lossless" nature of such a network, note that the purpose of impedance matching is to provide optimum signal/power transfer and thus such networks inherently would have to have been "lossless" to provide such optimum transfer. Finally, the "matching elements arranged to connect to said center conductor" is evident from Kim et al in that such matching elements (56) do indeed "connect" via resistors (50), line elements (40), and switching elements (38) to transmission line (34) as evident from fig. 4.

Applicant's arguments filed 13 January 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 896171

Page 4

Art Unit: 2817

Applicants' have asserted that the prior art Kim et al reference fails to meet the amended limitations of this claim. Namely, Kim et al fails to disclose "reactive" matching which is "lossless" as claimed.

Contrary to applicants' assertions, as pointed out in the reasoning set forth in the above rejection, the matching elements (56) of Kim et al are indeed "reactive" as well as "lossless" and thus continue to meet the claimed invention.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benny Lee whose telephone number is (703) 308 4902.

BENNY T. LEE PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2817